# Prevention of Central Venous Device-Related Infection in Children





















# Levels of Evidence and Grades of Recommendation

Level	Type of Evidence
1**	High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias.
1+	Well conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews, or RCTs with a low risk of bias.
1	Meta analyses, systematic reviews, or RCTs with a high risk of bias.
2**	High quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort or studies; High quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal.
2+	Well conducted case-control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal.
2	Case-control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal.
3	Non-analytic studies e.g. case reports, case series.
4	Expert opinion.

Grade	Recommendation	
A	At least one meta-analysis, systematic review, or RCT rated as 1 <sup>++</sup> , and directly applicable to the target population; or A body of evidence, consisting principally of studies rated as 1 <sup>+</sup> , directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results.	
В	A body of evidence, including studies rated as 2 <sup>++</sup> , directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1 <sup>++</sup> or 1 <sup>+</sup> .	
С	A body of evidence including studies rated as 2 <sup>+</sup> , directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency or results; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2 <sup>++</sup> .	
D	Evidence level 3 or 4; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as $2^+$ .	

# **Key References**

Pearson, M.L. (1996). Guideline for prevention of intravascular device-related infection. Part 1. Intravascular device-related infections: An overview. The Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 24(4): 262-293.

# Acknowledgments

Singapore Ministry of Health Nursing Clinical Practice Guidelines Workgroup on Prevention of Central Venous Device-Related Infection in Children.

# **MOH Nursing Clinical Practice Guidelines 01/2008**

# PREVENTION OF CENTRAL VENOUS DEVICE-RELATED INFECTION IN CHILDREN

# Scope of the Guidelines

The main aim of this guideline is to reduce the incidence of central venous devicerelated infections in children 16 years and below. The recommendations are applicable to the management of the paediatric population receiving central venous therapy, and may not be appropriate for the management of premature neonates, and children with arterial or haemodialysis catheters.

# **Summary of Recommendations**

# **Health Care Worker Education and Training**



Conduct continuous education and training of health care professionals regarding indications and procedures for the insertion, maintenance of central venous devices (CVD) and appropriate infection control measures to prevent CVD infections.

#### Hand washing



Wash hands before and after palpating, inserting, replacing or dressing any central venous access devices.

# **Barrier Precautions during Catheter Insertion and Care**



Use sterile technique, including a sterile gown, sterile latex gloves, large drapes and mask when inserting a central venous device.

# Selection and Replacement of Intravascular Catheters



Select the catheter, insertion technique, and insertion site with the lowest risk for complications.



Do not routinely replace central venous catheters solely for the purposes of reducing the incidence of infection.

# **Skin Cleansing**

Before CVD insertion, cleanse the skin site with an appropriate antiseptic solution. Although a 2% Chlorhexidine-based preparation is preferred, Chlorhexidine 0.5% with alcohol 70%, or 10% Providone Iodine can be used.

# **Catheter Site Dressing**

Use transparent, semi-permeable, polyurethane dressings to cover the central venous dressing site.

B/2++ Replace catheter site dressing when the catheter device is removed, or when the dressing becomes damp, loosened, or soiled.

B/2++ Avoid touching the catheter site when changing the dressing.

#### **Catheter Care**

C/2+ Do not use single-lumen parenteral nutrition catheters for purposes other than hyper-alimentation (e.g. administration of fluids, blood or blood products).

Designate one port for hyper-alimentation when a multi-lumen catheter is used to administer parenteral nutrition. It should not be used for other purposes (e.g. administration of fluids, blood or blood products).

D/4 Clean the catheter / extension line hub using chlorhexidine 0.5% with alcohol 70%.

Routinely flush indwelling central venous catheters (except peripherally inserted central catheters) with 2 mls of 10 units per ml of heparinised saline when not in use.

#### Replacement of Administration Sets and Intravenous (IV) Fluids

B/1+ Replace IV tubing including three-way adaptor, stopcock and extension tubings (closed system), no more frequently than 72-hour intervals, unless clinically indicated.

D/4 Replace IV tubing used for intermittent infusions every 24 hours.

- Replace tubing used to administer blood and blood products immediately after transfusion.
- C/2+ Replace tubing set used for administration of lipids and TPN fluids within 24 hours of initiating the infusion.
- D/4 Replace IV fluid including non-lipid containing parenteral nutrition every 72 hours
- Complete infusions of lipid-containing parenteral nutrition fluids within 24 hours of hanging the fluid.
- Complete infusions of blood and blood products within four hours of hanging of the blood/blood products.

# Intravenous Three-Way Injection Port / Needleless Injection Port

D/4 Clean three-way injection port using Chlorhexidine 0.5% with spirit 70% before accessing the system. Replace a new stopper after each disconnection.

Clean needleless injection port with alcohol 70% before accessing the system. Replace needleless IV devices and cap at least as frequently as the administration set. Ensure that all components of the system are compatible to minimise leaks and breaks in the system.

#### Preparation and Quality Control of Intravenous Admixtures

- Prepare all parenteral fluids in the pharmacy in a laminar-flow hood using aseptic technique.
- B/1+ Check all containers of parenteral fluid for visible turbidity, leaks, cracks, particulate matter, and the manufacturer's expiration date before use.
- Use single-dose vials for parental additives or medications whenever possible.
- B/2+ If multi-dose vials are used:
  - Refrigerate multi-dose vials after they are opened, following manufacturer's recommendations.
- Cleanse the rubber diaphragm of multi-dose vials with alcohol 70% before inserting a device into the vial.



 Use a sterile device each time a multi-dose vial is accessed, and avoid touch contamination of the device before penetrating the rubber diaphragm.



 Discard multi-dose vials when suspected or visible contamination occurs, or when the manufacturer's stated expiration date is reached.

#### In-Line Filters

B/1+ Do not use filters routinely for infection control purposes.

#### Surveillance for Catheter-Related Infection

Perform surveillance for central venous device-related infections to determine device-specific infection rates, to observe trends in those rates, and to assist in pinning down lapses in infection control practices within the institution.

Observe and palpate catheter insertion site eight hourly to assess for tenderness and infection.

In children who have a bulky dressing at the catheter site, remove the dressing and visually inspect hourly for bleeding, redness, swelling and security of catheter and palpate catheter site eight hourly. Apply new dressing, after inspection.

Record the date of catheter insertion on the transparent dressing site.

Do not routinely perform surveillance blood cultures of patients or of central venous devices.

















4

# Prevention of Central Venous Device-Related Infection in Children

February 2008

# STATEMENT OF INTENT

This set of guidelines is intended for use by personnel who are responsible for surveillance and control of infections in caring for children with central venous devices. The recommendations are based on the available research findings. However, there are certain aspects in which insufficient published research is available and, therefore, experts' opinions and consensus been utilized to provide guidelines.

The recommendations are applicable to the management of the paediatric population receiving central venous therapy, and may not be appropriate for the management of premature neonates, and children with arterial or haemodialysis catheters.

Every personnel is accountable and responsible for the prevention of infection associated with central venous devices. It is recommended that individual personnel assess the appropriateness of the recommendations with regards to patient condition, availability of resources, institutional policies, treatment goals and options available before adopting any recommendation.

Copyright © 2008 by Ministry of Health, Singapore.

# **FOREWORD**

Central venous catheter-related blood stream infection, which can be potentially life- threatening, is a significant morbidity in the paediatric intensive care unit. It is, however, preventable by adherence to strict practice guidelines.

A nursing workgroup was initiated by the Ministry of Health to establish evidence-based clinical guidelines on the prevention of this morbidity. Through rigorous literature review, we have put in place this set of guidelines to guide healthcare workers involved in the care of central venous catheters for paediatric patients. This is a low cost, evidence- based initiative that has a positive impact on patient outcome which serves to reduce central venous catheter-related blood stream infection to the minimum.

PAULINE TAN C J
CHIEF NURSING OFFICER

#### **CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION		5
	1.1 1.2 1.3	Background Definitions Types of Central Venous Devices	5 7
	1.4	Scope of the Guidelines	8
2	DEV	ELOPMENT OF GUIDELINES	g
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	<u> </u>	9 9 13 13
3	GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS		14
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	Health Care Worker Education and Training Hand washing Barrier Precautions during Catheter Insertion and Care Selection and Replacement of Intravascular Catheters Catheter Site Care Replacement of Administration Sets and Intravenous (IV) Fluids Intravenous Three-Way Injection Port / Needleless Injection Port Preparation and Quality Control of Intravenous Admixtures In-Line Filters Surveillance for Catheter-Related Infection	12 12 15 15 16 18 19 20 21 22
4	CLINICAL AUDIT		23
	4.1 4.2	Outcome Indicators Management Role	23 23
5	IMPL	EMENTATION OF GUIDELINES	24
6	REF	ERENCES	25
7	WOR	KKGROUP MEMBERS	36
APF	APPENDIX 1 SELF ASSESSMENT 3		

# INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Background

The use of central venous catheters (e.g. Hickmans and total inplanted devices, peripheral inserted central catheters) in children has become increasingly important over the past decade for the treatment of children with chronic medical conditions, especially malignancies. They are also used to administer intravenous fluids, medications, blood products, parental nutrition and to monitor the haemodynamic status of critically ill patients.

During the use of central venous devices, micro-organisms may enter the bloodstream and a variety of local or systemic infectious complications including septic thrombophlebitis, endocarditis, and bloodstream infections may occur (Pearson, 1996). Catheter related infections, particularly catheter-related bloodstream infections (CR-BSIs) are associated with increased morbidity, mortality rates of 10 to 20%, prolonged hospitalization and increased medical costs. (Smith *et al.*, 1991; Pittet *et al.*, 1994; Arnow, 1993)

As many as 18% of all chronic venous access devices are removed due to infection (Wiener et al, 1992) however the use of these devices in children have a low rate of infection (Darbyshire et al, 1985 Shulman et al, 1988 McDowel et al, 1986). Many factors are associated with an increase risk of infection among children with central venous access devices, including younger children less than 2 years old, malabsorption syndrome, and those receiving total parental nutrition (Mulloy et al, 1991)

There are no published results or studies examining frequency of dressing change, administration sets, catheter site care, use of inline filters, needleless intravascular devices in reducing catheter-related infection in children. (Pearson, 1996)

#### 1.2 Definitions

**Colonised catheter** – Growth of ≥15 colony-forming units (semi-quantitative culture) or >10<sup>3</sup> (quantitative culture) from a proximal or distal catheter segment in the absence of accompanying clinical symptoms.

(Pearson, 1996)

**Exit-site infection** – Erythema, tenderness, induration, or purulence within 2 cm of the skin at the exit site of the catheter.

(Pearson, 1996)

**Pocket infection** – Erythema and necrosis of the skin over the reservoir of a totally implantable device, or purulent exudates in the subcutaneous pocket containing the reservoir.

(Pearson, 1996)

**Tunnel Infection** – Erythema, tenderness, and induration in the tissues overlying the catheter and >2 cm from the exit site.

(Pearson, 1996)

Catheter-related blood stream infection (CR-BSI) – Isolation of the same organism (i.e. identical species, antibiogram) from a semi-quantitative or quantitative culture of a catheter segment and from the blood (preferably drawn from a peripheral vein) of a patient with accompanying clinical symptoms of BSI and no other apparent source of infection. In the absence of laboratory confirmation, defervescence after removal of an implicated catheter from a patient with BSI may be considered indirect evidence of CR-BSI.

(Pearson, 1996)

**Infusate-related blood stream infection** – Isolation of the same organism from infusate and from separate percutaneous blood cultures, with no other identifiable source of infection.

(Pearson, 1996)

# 1.3 Types of Central Venous Devices

In this guideline, the types of Central Venous Devices covered are:

- Non-tunneled central venous catheters (CVC)
- Peripherally inserted central venous catheters (PICC)
- Tunneled central venous catheters
- Totally implantable central venous devices (TIDS)

Non-tunneled CVCs are the most commonly used central venous catheters. Maki (1992) showed that central venous catheters account for an estimated 90% of all CR-BSI.

Peripherally inserted central venous catheters provide an alternative to subclavian or jugular vein catheterization and are inserted into the superior vena cava via the cephalic, basilar and scalp veins of the antecubital space, and into inferior vena cava via lower limb veins.

PICC appears to be associated with a lower rate of infection as compared to other non-tunneled CVCs. (Ryder 1995; Raad *et al*, 1993)

Tunneled central venous catheters are surgically implanted central catheters, such as Hickman, Broviac and Groshong, and are commonly used to provide long term central vascular access. Most studies reported that the rate of infection with the use of tunneled catheters had been significantly lower than those reported with the use of non-tunneled CVCs. (Abraham, 1982; Shulman *et al*, 1988; Schuman *et al*, 1985) However, two recent studies, one randomised, found no significant difference in the rates of infection among tunneled and non-tunneled catheters. (Raad *et al*, 1993; Andrivet *et al*, 1994)

Totally implantable central intravascular devices also are tunneled beneath the skin, but have a subcutaneous port or reservoir with a self-sealing septum that is accessed by a needle puncture through the intact skin. Among the long-term vascular access devices, TIDs have the lowest rates of CR-BSI. (McDowel *et al*, 1986; Wurzel *et al*, 1988; Pegues *et al*, 1992; Groeger *et al*, 1993)

# 1.4 Scope of the Guidelines

The main aim of this guideline is to reduce the incidence of central venous device-related infections in children 16 years and below. The recommendations are applicable to the management of the paediatric population receiving central venous therapy, and may not be appropriate for the management of premature neonates, and children with arterial or haemodialysis catheters.

The guidelines include recommendation on hand washing, aseptic technique, use of barrier precaution during catheter insertion and care, use of administrative sets and infusate, catheter site care, use of inline filters; three-way injection ports and needleless intravascular devices, and preparation and quality control of intravenous admixture.

# DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDELINES

# 2.1 Training and Guidance

Members of the workgroup attended a two-day interactive training workshop to learn about and discuss the theory and practical issues of developing an evidence-based guideline. This was conducted under the guidance of Dr Edwin Chan & Dr Miny Samuel of the National Medical Research Council Clinical Trials & Epidemiology Research Unit. The practical training revolved around topic selection and the development of a "mock" evidence-based guideline which developed into this present one.

#### 2.2 Literature Review

This set of guideline is adapted from the Guideline for Prevention of Intravascular Device-Related Infections by the Centres for Disease Control (CDC) of the United States of America (Pearson *et al*, 1996, Gerberding *et al*, 2002). Searches on MEDLINE, CINAHL, Cochrane library between 1995 and 2007 found no other new evidence except two RCTs on the type of dressing for central venous devices.

A review of prevailing clinical practice in Singapore was made by studying the guidelines and documentation used by various local hospitals and institutions.

For areas where available evidence was inconsistent or inconclusive, recommendations were made based on the clinical experience and judgment of the workgroup or expert committee reports.

# 2.3 Evaluation of Evidence and Grading of Recommendations

We adopted the revised Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) system which gives clear guidance on how to evaluate the design of individual studies and how to grade each study's level of evidence (see 2.3.1 and 2.3.2); and how to assign a grade to the recommendation after taking into account external validity, result consistency, local constraints and expert opinion (see 2.3.3). The extensive reliance on the CDC guidelines is acknowledged and treated as a very special case of published expert opinion.

# 2.3.1 Individual Study Validity Rating

All primary studies and reviews addressing a particular topic e.g. measurement of wound size, were appraised using a SIGN checklist appropriate to the study's design and <u>individually rated</u> for internal validity using the system below:

Rating	Description	
++	All or most of the criteria have been fulfilled. Where they have not been fulfilled the conclusions of the study or review are thought very unlikely to alter the conclusions.	
+	<b>Some</b> of the criteria have been fulfilled. Those criteria that have not been fulfilled or not adequately described are thought <b>unlikely</b> to alter the conclusions.	
_	Few or no criteria fulfilled. The conclusions of the study are thought likely or very likely to alter the conclusions.	

# 2.3.2 Levels of Evidence

Each study is assigned a level of evidence by combining the design designation and its validity rating using the system below:

Level	Type of Evidence	
1**	High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias.	
1 <sup>+</sup>	Well conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews, or RCTs with a low risk of bias.	
1	Meta analyses, systematic reviews, or RCTs with a high risk of bias.	
2**	High quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort or studies. High quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal.	
2 <sup>+</sup>	Well conducted case-control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal.	
2	Case-control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal.	
3	Non-analytic studies e.g. case reports, case series.	
4	Expert opinion.	

# 2.3.3 Grade of Recommendation

The detailed results of each study and mitigating local circumstances were considered in formulation of each recommendation which was then graded using the system below:

Grade	Recommendation	
A	At least one meta-analysis, systematic review, or RCT rated as 1 <sup>++</sup> , and directly applicable to the target population; or A body of evidence, consisting principally of studies rated as 1 <sup>+</sup> , directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results.	
В	A body of evidence, including studies rated as 2 <sup>++</sup> , directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1 <sup>++</sup> or 1 <sup>+</sup>	
С	A body of evidence including studies rated as 2 <sup>+</sup> , directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency or results; Or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2 <sup>++</sup>	
D	Evidence level 3 or 4; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2 <sup>+</sup>	

# 2.3.4 Interpretation of the D/4 Grading

The grading system emphasizes the quality of the experimental support underpinning each recommendation. The grading D/4 was assigned in cases where:

• it would be unreasonable to conduct a RCT because the correct practice is logically obvious.

 recommendations derived from existing high quality evidencebased guidelines in which it would be impossible to obtain and review all the primary evidence or to reconcile their grading system with the current one. These recommendations have been accepted based on the scientific reputation of their sources, and they are classified as 'Expert opinion' (level 4 evidence), e.g. (D/4)

#### 2.4 Guidelines Review and Revision

Drafts of the guidelines were circulated to various stakeholders in health-care institutions for peer review and evaluation of the validity, reliability and practicality of the recommendations.

This guideline will be reviewed and revised periodically to incorporate the latest relevant evidence and expert clinical opinion.

#### 2.5 Limitations

This guideline offers recommendations which are based on current scientific evidence and professional judgment. It is not intended as a legal standard of care and does not guarantee or ensure safe and effective patient care.

Users of this guideline should determine what are safe and appropriate patient care practices, based on the assessment of the circumstances of the particular patient, their own clinical experience and knowledge of the most recent research findings.

# 3 GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# 3.1 Health Care Worker Education and Training

 Conduct continuous education and training of health care professionals regarding indications and procedures for the insertion, maintenance of central venous devices (CVD) and appropriate infection control measures to prevent CVD infections

(A/1+)

#### Rationale:

Every institution should ensure that all health care providers, including nurses and physicians, maintain a high level of skill and knowledge. This could be achieved through regular training and compliance to policies and procedures relating to central venous access devices. (Sherertz et al, 2000; Davis et al, 1999, BeVier et al, 1994; Conly et al, 1989; East, 1994; Kyle et al, 1990; Vanherweghen et al, 1986).

# 3.2 Hand washing

 Wash hands before and after palnating, inserting, replacing or dressing any central venous access devices.

(A/1+)

#### Rationale:

Handwashing is the single most important routine in preventing the spread of infection as many types of these infections may be caused by organisms transmitted on the hands of personnel. (Boyce *et al*, 2002; Eggimann *et al*, 2000; Simmons *et al*, 1990; Steere and Mallison, 1975)

# 3.3 Barrier Precautions during Catheter Insertion and Care

 Use sterile technique, including a sterile gown, sterile latex gloves, large drapes and mask when inserting a central venous device.

(B/2++)

### Rationale:

Adopting maximum barrier precautions can minimize catheter contamination and subsequent CVC-related infections. (Capdevila, 1998; Raad *et al*, 1994; CDC, 1988)

# 3.4 Selection and Replacement of Intravascular Catheters

• Select the catheter, insertion technique, and insertion site with the lowest risk for complications.

(B/1+)

• Do not routinely replace central venous catheters solely for the purposes of reducing the incidence of infection.

(B/1+)

### Rationale:

Studies have shown that the use of femoral catheters has an equivalent infection rate to that of non-femoral catheters. (Venkataraman *et al*, 1997, Stenzel *et al*, 1989) Fewer infectious complications have been associated with the use of teflon or polyurethane catheters than catheters made of polyvinyl chloride or polyethylene. (Sheth *et al*, 1983)

#### 3.5 Catheter Site Care

# 3.5.1 Skin Cleansing

 Before CVD insertion, cleanse the skin site with an appropriate antiseptic solution. Although a 2% Chlorhexidine-based preparation is preferred, Chlorhexidine 0.5% with alcohol 70%, or 10% Providone Iodine can be used.

(B/1+)

#### Rationale:

Insertion sites should be prepared with an antiseptic of proven efficacy such as Chlorhexidine 2%, however, Chlorhexidine 0.5% in 70% alcohol or 10% Providone Iodine can be used. (Mimoz *et al*, 1996; Maki *et al*, 1991; Garland *et al*, 1995; Rannem *et al*, 1990; Strand *et al*, 1993)

# 3.5.2 Catheter Site Dressing

 Use transparent, semi-permeable, polyurethane dressings to cover the central venous dressing site.

(B/1+)

 Replace catheter site dressing when the catheter device is removed, or when the dressing becomes damp, loosened, or soiled.

(B/2++)

• Avoid touching the catheter site when changing the dressing.

(B/2++)

# Rationale:

There is no evidence to suggest that transparent semi-permeable dressings reduce the risk of infection. (Bijma *et al*, 1999; Nikoletti *et al*, 1999; Madeo *et al*, 1998; Maki *et al*, 1994) Several benefits have been reported in the use of transparent dressing such as securing of the device, allowing continuous visual inspection of the catheter site, allowing patients to bathe and shower, thus saving personnel time. (CDC, 1998)

#### 3.5.3 Catheter Care

 Do not use single-lumen parenteral nutrition catheters for purposes other than hyper-alimentation (e.g. administration of fluids, blood or blood products).

(C/2+)

 Designate one port for hyper-alimentation when a multi-lumen catheter is used to administer parenteral nutrition. It should not be used for other purposes (e.g. administration of fluids, blood or blood products).

(C/2+)

 Clean the catheter / extension line hub using chlorhexidine 0.5% with alcohol 70%.

(D/4)

 Routinely flush indwelling central venous catheters (except peripherally inserted central catheters) with 2 mls of 10 units per ml of heparinised saline when not in use.

(D/4)

# Rationale:

Thrombi and fibrin deposits on the catheters may serve as a focus for microbial colonization. (Snydman *et al.*, 1982; Stillman *et al.*, 1977)

Catheter thrombosis appears to be one of the most important factors associated with infection of long-term catheters. Therefore, the use of anti-coagulants or thrombotic agents may be used in the prevention of CR-BSI. However, clinical trials are needed to assess further the relative efficacy, risks and benefits of the routine use of various anti-coagulants in preventing catheter-related infection. (Press *et al.*, 1984; Raad *et al.*, 1994)

# 3.6 Replacement of Administration Sets and Intravenous (IV) Fluids

 Replace IV tubing including three-way adaptor, stopcock and extension tubings (closed system), no more frequently than 72hour intervals, unless clinically indicated.

(B/1+)

Replace IV tubing used for intermittent infusions every 24 hours.
 (D/4)

 Replace tubing used to administer blood and blood products immediately after transfusion.

(B/2+)

 Replace tubing set used for administration of lipids and TPN fluids within 24 hours of initiating the infusion.

(C/2+)

 Replace IV fluid including non-lipid containing parenteral nutrition every 72 hours.

(D/4)

• Complete infusions of lipid-containing parenteral nutrition fluids within 24 hours of hanging the fluid.

(D/4)

 Complete infusions of blood and blood products within four hours of hanging of the blood/blood products.

(D/4)

#### Rationale:

Replacing administration sets 72 hours or more after the initiation of use have shown to be safe and cost beneficial. (Snydman *et al*, 1987; Maki *et al*, 1987)

However, certain fluid such as blood, blood products, TPN fluids and lipids are more likely to support microbial growth if contaminated. Therefore, more frequent replacement of administration sets is required (Didier *et al*, 1998; Maki *et al*, 1975; Melly *et al*, 1975)

# 3.7 Intravenous Three-Way Injection Port / Needleless Injection Port

 Clean three-way injection port using Chlorhexidine 0.5% with spirit 70% before accessing the system. Replace a new stopper after each disconnection.

(D/4)

 Clean needleless injection port with alcohol 70% before accessing the system. Replace needleless IV devices and cap at least as frequently as the administration set. Ensure that all components of the system are compatible to minimise leaks and breaks in the system.

(D/4)

#### Rationale:

The three-way injection port (stopcock) which is commonly used for the administration of medication / intravenous fluids and collection of blood samples may be another portal of entry for microorganisms. Although stopcock contamination is common, few studies have been able to demonstrate that the organisms colonising the stopcock is the same organism responsible for CRI. (McArthur *et al*, 1975; Walrath *et al*, 1979)

Needleless devices were introduced as an attempt to reduce needlestick injuries and the risk of transmission of blood borne infections to health care workers. However, data to assess the potential risk of contamination of the catheter and infusate that may be associated with the use of these devices are limited. (Arduino et

al, 1997; Brown et al, 1997; Luebke et al, 1998; McDonald et al, 1998)

# 3.8 Preparation and Quality Control of Intravenous Admixtures

 Prepare all parenteral fluids in the pharmacy in a laminar-flow hood using aseptic technique.

(C/1+)

 Check all containers of parenteral fluid for visible turbidity, leaks, cracks, particulate matter, and the manufacturer's expiration date before use.

(B/1+)

 Use single-dose vials for parental additives or medications whenever possible.

(D/4)

- If multi-dose vials are used:
  - Refrigerate multi-dose vials after they are opened, following manufacturer's recommendations.

(B/2+)

- Cleanse the rubber diaphragm of multi-dose vials with alcohol 70% before inserting a device into the vial.

(B/1+)

 Use a sterile device each time a multi-dose vial is accessed, and avoid touch contamination of the device before penetrating the rubber diaphragm.

(B/1+)

 Discard multi-dose vials when suspected or visible contamination occurs, or when the manufacturer's stated expiration date is reached.

(B/2+)

#### Rationale:

Multi-dose parenteral medication vials (MDVs) are commonly used in preparation of parenteral medications. These MDVs may be used for prolonged periods, and for more than one patient. Therefore, contamination of MDVs due to a break of aseptic technique during preparation may result in nosocomial infection. (Longfield *et al*, 1985) reported that the overall risk for extrinsic contamination of MDVs appear to be small (0.5 per 1000 vials), but the consequences may be serious. (Henry *et al*, 2001; Grohskopf *et al*, 2001; Plott *et al*, 1990) Single-dose vials are preferred but they are frequently preservative-free and might pose a risk of contamination if they are punctured several times. (ASPH, 2000)

#### 3.9 In-Line Filters

Do not use filters routinely for infection control purposes.

(B/1+)

#### Rationale:

Rusho and Batt (1979), Allcutt *et al* (1983), Falchuk *et al* (1985), and Maddox *et al* (1983) state that in-line filters reduce the incidence of infusion-related phlebitis. However, there is no evidence to support their efficacy in the prevention of infection associated with CVDs and the infusion system. Some of the potential benefits derived from inline filters are:

- reduction in the risk of infection from contaminated infusate
- removal of particulate matter that may contaminate IV fluids
- removal of endotoxin produced by gram negative organisms in contaminated infusate

The above potential benefits from the use of in-line filters may reduce the incidence of catheter-related infection, however, infusate related BSI rarely occurs. It is more practical and less costly to remove most particulates from the infusate with the use of pre-use filtration in the pharmacy. Furthermore, in-line filters may clog, especially with certain solutions (lipid, mannitol, etc) and subsequently decrease the availability of administered drugs. Apart

from these unfavorable effects, the routine use of in-line filters may increase cost, personnel time and possible infections. (Butler *et al*, 1980; Newall *et al*, 1998)

#### 3.10 Surveillance for Catheter-Related Infection

 Perform surveillance for central venous device-related infections to determine device-specific infection rates, to observe trends in those rates, and to assist in pinning down lapses in infection control practices within the institution.

(B/1+)

 Observe and palpate catheter insertion site eight hourly to assess for tenderness and infection.

(D/4)

 In children who have a bulky dressing at the catheter site, remove the dressing and visually inspect hourly for bleeding, redness, swelling and security of catheter and palpate catheter site eight hourly. Apply new dressing, after inspection.

(D/4)

 Record the date of catheter insertion on the transparent dressing site.

(D/4)

 Do not routinely perform surveillance blood cultures of patients or of central venous devices.

(B/1+)

#### Rationale:

Each organization should ensure that an effective surveillance system is in place to identify central venous access device-related infection. Express data as the number of catheter-related infections or catheter-related bloodstream infections (CR-BSI) per 1000 catheter-days to facilitate comparisons with national trends. (Pearson, 1996; White *et al*, 1994; Pittet *et al*, 1994; Raad *et al*, 1995; Widmer, 1992; Haley *et al*, 1985; Josephson *et al*, 1991)

# 4 CLINICAL AUDIT

Hospital and institution administrators should consider these guidelines in their in-house quality assurance / improvement programmes. Nurses should critically review the implications of these guidelines for their routine care delivery, trainee teaching and patient education needs.

#### 4.1 Outcome Indicators

The recommended key outcome indictors are CVDs related infection rate for the following:

- colonised catheter
- exit-site infection
- pocket infection
- tunnel infection
- catheter-related blood stream infection
- infusate related blood stream infection

The infection rate is calculated according to a standard formula recommended by the Hospital Control Unit.

The infection rate is expressed as the number of catheter-related infection or catheter-related blood stream infections (CR-BSI) per 1000 catheters-days to facilitate comparison with national or international trends.

The formula is as follows:

Total no. of CRI or CR-BSI Total no. of Catheter Day X 1000 Catheter days = % of CRI or CR-BSI

#### 4.2 Management Role

Hospital and institution administrators, together with the infection control unit and quality assurance / improvement teams, should ensure that outcome indicators are met. They may benchmark against hospitals or institutions that perform well.

# 5 IMPLEMENTATION OF GUIDELINES

It is expected that these guidelines be adopted after discussions involving clinical and management staff of the respective hospitals and institutions. They may review how these guidelines may complement, or be incorporated into, their existing institution protocols.

Feedback may be directed to the Ministry of Health for consideration in future reviews.

# 6 REFERENCES

Abraham, J.L. & Mullen, J.L. (1982). A prospective study of prolonged central venous access in leukaemia. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 248, 2868-2873.

Adams, B.G. & Marrie, T.J. (1982). Hand carriage of aerobic gram-negative rods by health care personnel. *Journal of Hygiene*, 89(1), 23-31.

Adams, B.G. & Marrie, T.J. (1982). Hand carriage of aerobic gram-negative rods may not be transient. *Journal of Hygiene*, 89(1), 33-46.

Allcutt, D.A., Lort, D. & McCollum, C.N. (1983). Final inline filtration for intravenous infusions: A prospective hospital study. *British Journal of Surgery*, 70(2), 111-113.

Allen, J.R. (1980). Guidelines for changing administration sets for intravenous therapy. *National Intravenous Therapy Association*, 3, 175-179.

American Society of Hospital Pharmacists. ASGP technical assistance bulletin on quality assurance for pharmacy-prepared sterile products. (1993). *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, 50, 2386-2398.

Andrivet, P., Bacquer, A., Ngoc, C.V., Ferme, C., Letinier, J.Y., Gautier, H. Gallet, C.B. & Brun-Buisson, C. (1994). Lack of clinical benefit from subcutaneous tunnel insertion of central venous catheters in immunocompromised patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *18*(2), 199-206.

Arduino, M.J., Bland, L.A., Danzig, L.E., McAllister, S.K. & Aguero, S.M. (1997). Microbiologic evaluation of needleless and needle-access devices. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *25*(5), 377-380.

Arnow, P.M., Quimosing, E.M. & Brech, M. (1993). Consequences of intravascular catheter sepsis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *16*(6), 778-784.

ASPH Council on Professional Affairs. (2000). ASHP guidelines on quality assurance for pharmacy-prepared sterile products. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 57, 1150-1169.

BeVier, P.A. & Rice, C.E. (1994). Initiating a pediatric peripherally inserted central catheter and midline catheter program. *Journal of Intravenous Nursing*, 17(4), 201-205.

Bijma, R., Girbes, A.R., Kleijer, D.J & Zwaveling, J.H. (1999). Preventing central venous catheter-related infection in a surgical intensive-care unit. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 20, 618-620.

Bock, S.N., Lee, R.E., Fisher, B., Rubin, J.T., Schwartzentruber, D.J., Wei, J.P., Callender, D.P., Yang, J.C., Lotze, M.T., Pizzo, P.A., et al. (1990). A prospective randomized trial evaluating prospective randomized trial evaluating prophylactic antibiotics to prevent triple-lumen catheter-related sepsis in patients treated with immunotherapy. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 8(1), 161-169.

Boyce, J.M. & Pittet, D. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force (2002). Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HIPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 30(8), S1-S46.

Brown, J.D., Moss, H.A. & Elliott, T.S. (1997). The potential for catheter microbial contamination from a needleless connector. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 36(3), 181-189.

Butler, D.L., Munson, J.M. & DeLuca, P.P. (1980). Effect of online filtration on the potency of low-dose drugs. *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, 37(7), 935-941.

Capdevila, J.A. (1998). Catheter-related infection: An update on diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *International Journal of Infectious Disease*, *2*(4): 230-236.

Casewell, M. & Phillips, I. (1977). Hands as a route for transmission of Klebsiella species. *British Medical Journal*, 2(6098), 1315-1317.

Centers for Disease Control. (1988). Update: Universal precautions for prevention of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, and other bloodborne pathogens in health-care settings. *MMWR*, 37, 377-382, 387, 388.

Centers for Disease Control. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System report, data summary from October 1986-April 1998, issued June (1998). *American Journal of Infection Control*, 26(5), 522-533.

Conly, J.M., Hill, S., Ross, J., Lertzman, J. & Louie, T. J. (1989). Handwashing practices in an intensive care unit: The effects of an educational program and its relationship to infection rates. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 17, 330-339.

Darbyshire, P.J., Weightman, N.C. & Speller, D.C. (1985). Problems associated with indwelling central venous catheters. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, *60*(2), 129-134.

Dashner, F.D. (1985). The transmission of infections in hospitals by staff carriers, methods of prevention and control. *Infection Control*, 6, 97-98.

Davis, D., O'Brien, M.A., Freemantle, N., Wolf, F.M., Mazmanian, P. & Taylor-Vaisey, A. (1999). Impact of formal continuing medical education: do conferences, workshops, rounds, and other traditional continuing education activities change physician behaviour or health care outcomes? *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 282(9), 867-874.

Didier, M.E., Fischer, S. & Maki, D.G. (1998). Total nutrient admixtures appear safer than lipid emulsion alone as regards microbial contamination: Growth properties of microbial pathogens at room temperature. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 22, 291-296.

East, S.A. (1994). Planning, implementation, and evaluation of a successful hospital-based peripherally inserted central catheter program. *Journal of Intravenous Nursing*, *17*(4), 189-192.

Eggimann, P., Harbarth, S., Constantin, M.N., Touveneau, S., Chevrolet, J.C. & Pittet, D. (2000). Impact of a prevention strategy targeted at vascular-access care on incidence of infections acquired in intensive care. *Lancet*, *355*(9218), 1864-1868.

Evans, M.E., Schaffner, W., Federspiel, C.F., Cotoon, R.B., McKee, K.T. & Stratton, C.W. (1988). Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value of body surface cultures in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *259*(2), 248-252.

Falchuk, K.H., Peterson, L., McNeil, B.J. (1985). Microparticulate-induced phlebitis. Its prevention by in-line filtration. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *312*(2), 78-82.

Faubion, W.C., Wesley, J.R., Khaldi, N. & Silva, J. (1986). Total parenteral nutrition catheter sepsis: Impact of the team approach. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 10, 642-645.

Federal Standard No. 209. (1992). Airborne particulates cleanliness classes in clean rooms and clean zones, Washington, DC: General Services Administration.

Garland, J.S., Buck, R.K., Maloney, P., Durkin, D.M., Toth-Lloyd, S., Duffy, M., Szocik, P., McAuliffe, T.L. & Goldmann, D. (1995). Comparison of 10% povidone-iodine and 0.5% chlorhexidine gluconate for the prevention of peripheral intravenous catheter colonisaton in neonates: A prospective trial. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, *14*(6), 510-516.

Gerberding, J.L. (2002). Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *MMWR*, *51*(RR10), 1-26.

Gorbea, H.F., Snydman, D.R., Delaney, A., Stockman, J. & Martin, W.J. (1984). Intravenous tubing with burettes can be safety changed at 48-hour intervals. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *251*(16), 2112-2115.

Gorelick, M.H., Owen, W.C., Seibel, N.L. & Reaman, G.H. (1991). Lack of association between neutropenia and the incidence of bacteremia associated with indwelling central venous catheters in febrile pediatric cancer patients. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 10(7), 506-510.

Groeger, J.S., Lucas, A.B., Thaler, H.T., Friedlander-Klar, H., Brown, A.E., Kiehn, T.E., Armstrong, D. (1993). Infectious morbidity associated with long-term use of venous access devices in patients with cancer. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. *119*(12), 1168-1174.

Grohskopf, L.A., Roth, V.R., Feikin, D.R., Arduino, M.J., Carson, L.A., Tokars, J.I., Holt, S.C., Jensen, B.J., Hoffman, R.E., Jarvis, W.R. (2001). Serratia liquefaciens bloodstream infections from contamination of epoetin alfa at a hemodialysis center. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 344(20), 1491-1497.

Haley, R.W., Culver, D.H., White, J.W., Morgan, W.M., Emori, T.G., Munn, V.P. & Hooton, T.M. (1985). The efficacy of infection surveillance and control programs in preventing nosocomial infections in US hospitals. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 121(2),182-205.

Henry, B., Plante-Jenkins, C. & Ostrowska, K. (2001). An outbreak of Serratia marcescens associated with the anesthetic agent propofol. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 29(5), 312-315.

Johnson, P.R., Decker, M.D., Edwards, K.M., Schaffner, W., Wright, P.F. (1984). Frequency of Broviac catheter infections in pediatric oncology patients. *Journal of Infectious Disease*, *154*(4), 570-578.

Josephson, A., Gombert, M.E., Sierra, M.F., Karanfil, L.V. & Tansino, G.F. (1985). The relationship between intravenous fluid contamination and the frequency of tubing replacement. *Infection Control*, 6(9), 367-370.

Josephson, A., Karanfil, L., Alonso, H., Watson, A. & Blight, J. (1991). Risk-specific nosocomial infection rates. *American Journal of Medicine*, *91*(3B), 131S-137S.

Knittle, M.A., Eitzman, D.V. & Baer, H. (1975). Role of hand contamination of personnel in the epidemiology of gram-negative nosomial infections. *Journal of Pediatrics*, *86*(3), 433-437.

Kyle, K.S. & Myers, J.S. (1990). Peripherally inserted central catheters. Development of a hospital-based program. *Journal of Intravenous Nursing*, 13(5), 287-290.

Longfield, R.N., Smith, L.P., Longfield, J.N., Coberly, J. & Cruess, D. (1985). Multiple-dose vials: Persistence of bacterial contaminants and infection control implications. *Infection Control*, 6(5), 194-199.

Luebke, M.A., Arduino, M.J., Duda, D.L., Dudar, T.E., McAllister, S.K., Bland, L.A. Wesley, J.R. (1998). Comparison of the microbial barrier properties of a needleless and a conventional needle-based intravenous access system. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *26*(4), 437-441.

Maddox, R.R., John, J.F. Jr, Brown, L. & Smith, C.E. (1983). Effect of inline filtration on postinfusion phlebitis. Clinical Pharmacy, 2(1), 58-61.

- Madeo, M., Martin, C.R., Turner, C., Kirkby, V. & Thompson, D.R. (1998). A randomized trial comparing Arglares (a transparent dressing containing silver ions) to Tegaderm (a transparent polyurethane dressing) for dressing peripheral arterial catheters and central vascular catheters. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 14(4), 187-191.
- Maki, D.G., Anderson, R.L. & Shulman, J.A. (1974). In-use contamination of intravenous infusion fluid. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 28, 778-784.
- Maki, D.G., Botticelli, J.T., LeRoy, M.L. & Thielke, T.S. (1987). Prospective study of replacing administration sets for intravenous therapy at 48- vs 72 intervals. 72 hours is safe and cost-effective. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 258(13), 1777-1781.
- Maki, D.G., Goldmann, D.A. & Rhame, F.S. (1973). Infection control in intravenous therapy. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 79(6), 867-887.
- Maki, D.G. & Martin, W.T. (1975). Nationwide epidemic of septicemia caused by contaminated infusion products, IV: growth of microbial pathogens in fluids for intravenous infection. *Journal of Infectious Disease*, 131(3), 267-272.
- Maki, D.G., Ringer, M. & Alvarado, C.J. (1991). Prospective randomized trial of povidone-iodine, alcohol, and cholorhexidine for prevention of infection associated with central venous and arterial catheters. *Lancet*, 338(8763), 339-343.
- Maki, D.G., Stolz, S.S., Wheeler, S. & Mermel, L.A. (1992). A prospective, randomized trial of gauze and two polyurethane dressings for site care of pulmonary artery catheters: Implications for catheter management. *Critical Care Medicine*, 22(11), 1729-1737.
- McArthur, B.J., Hargiss, C. & Schoenknecht, F.D. (1975). Stopcock contamination in an ICU. *American Journal of Nursing*, 75(1), 96-97.
- McDonald, L.C., Banerjee, S.N. & Jarvis, W.R. (1998). Line-associated bloodstream infections in pediatric intensive-care-unit patients associated with a needleless device and intermittent intravenous therapy. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*. 19(10), 772-777.

- McDowell, H.P., Hart, C.A. & Martin, J. (1986). Implantable subcutaneous venous catheters. *Archives of Disease Childhood*, 61, 1037-1038.
- Melly, M.A., Meng, H.C. & Schaffner, W. (1975). Microbial growth in lipid emulsions used in parenteral nutrition. *Archives of Surgery, 110*(12), 1479-1781.
- Mimoz, O., Pieroni, L., Lawrence, C., Edouard, A., Costa, Y., Samii, K. & Brun-Buisson, C. (1996). Prospective, randomized trial of two antiseptic solutions for prevention of central venous or arterial catheter colonization and infection in intensive care unit patients. *Critical Care Medicine*, *24*(11), 1818-823.
- Mulloy, R.H., Jadavji, T. & Russell, M.L. (1991). Tunneled central venous cather sepsis: risk factors in a pediatric hospital. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. 15, 460-463.
- Nelson, D.B., Kien, C.L., Mohr, B., Frank, S. & Davis, S.D. (1986). Dressing changes by specialized personnel reduces infection rates in patients receiving central venous parenteral nutrition. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 10, 220-222.
- Newall, F., Ranson, K. & Robertson, J. (1998). Use of in-line filters in paediatric intravenous therapy. *Journal of Intravenous Nursing*, *21*(3), 166-170.
- Nikoletti, S., Leslie, G., Gandossi, S., Coombs, G. & Wilson, R. (1999). A prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing transparent polyurethane and hydrocolloid dressings for central venous catheters. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *27*(6), 488-496.
- Pearson, M.L. (1996). Guideline for prevention of intravascular device-related infection. Part 1. Intravascular device-related infections: An overview. The Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 24(4), 262-293.
- Pegues, D., Axelrod, P., McClarren, C., Eisenberg, B.L., Hoffman, J.P., Ottery, F.D., Keidan, R.D., Boraas, M. & Weese, J. (1992). Comparison of infections in Hickman and implanted port catheters in adult solid tumor patients. *Journal of Surgical Oncology, 49*(3), 156-162.

- Pitter, D., Tarara, D. & Wenzel, R.P. (1994). Nosocomial bloodstream infection in critically ill patients. Excess length of stay, extra costs, and attributable mortality. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 271(20), 1598-1601.
- Plott, R.T., Wagner, R.F. Jr. & Tyring, S.K. (1990). latrogenic contamination of multidose vials in simulated use. A reassessment of current patient injection technique. *Archives of Dermatology*, *126*(11), 1441-1444.
- Press, O.W., Ramsay, P.G., Larson, E.B., Fefer, A. & Hickman, R.O. (1984). Hickman catheter infections in patients with malignancies. *Medicine*, *63*(4), 189-200.
- Raad, I.I., Baba, M. & Bodey, G.P. (1995). Diagnosis of catheter-related infections: Role of the surveillance and targeted quantitative skin cultures. *Clinical Infectious Disease*, *20*(3): 593-597.
- Raad, I., Davis, S., Becker, M., Hohn, D., Houston, D., Umphrey, J., Bodey, G.P. (1993). Low infection rate and long durability of nontunneled silastic catheters. A safe cost-effective alternative for long-term venous access. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *153*(15), 1791-1796.
- Raad, I.I., Hohn, D.C., Gilbreath, B.J., Suleiman, N., Hill, L.A., Bruso, P.A., Marts, K., Mansfield, P. F. & Bodey, G.P. (1994). Prevention of central venous catheter-related infections by using maximal sterile barrier precaution during insertion. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, 15*(4 Pt 1), 231-238.
- Rannem, T., Ladefoged, K., Hegnhoj, F., Hylander, M. E., Bruun, B. & Farnum, S. (1990). Catheter-related sepsis in long-term parenteral nutrition with Boviac catheters. An evaluation of different disinfectants. *Clinical Nutrition*, 9, 131-136.
- Reybrouk, G. (1983). Role of the hands in the spread of nosocomial infections. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *4*(2), 103-110.
- Rusho, W.J. & Batt, J.N. (1979). Effect of filtration on complications of postoperative intravenous therapy. *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, *36*(10), 1355-1356.

- Ryder, M.A. (1995). Peripheral access options. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America, 4(3), 395-427.
- Sherertz, R.J., Ely, E.W., Westbrook, D.M., Gledhill, K.S., Streed, S.A., Kiger, B., Flynn, L., Hayes, S., Strong, S., Cruz, J., Bowton, D.L., Hulgan, T. & Haponik, E.F. (2000). Education of physicians-in-training can decrease the risk for vascular catheter infection. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *132*(8), 641-648.
- Sheth, N.K., Franson, T.R., Rose, H.D., Buckmire, F.L., Cooper, J.A. & Sohnle, P.G. (1983). Colonization of bacteria on polyvinyl chloride and Teflon intravascular catheters in hospitalized patients. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *18*(5), 1061-1063.
- Shulman, R.J., Smith, E.O., Rahman, S., Gardner, P., Reed, T. & Mahoney, D. (1988). Single- vs double-lumen central venous catheters in pediatric oncology patients. *American Journal of Diseases of Children, 142*(8), 893-895.
- Simmons, B., Bryant, J., Neiman, K., Spencer, L. & Arheart, K. (1990). The role of hand washing in prevention of endemic intensive care unit infections. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, *11*(11), 589-594.
- Sitges-Serra, A., Linares, J., Perez, J.L., Jaurrieta, E. & Lorente, L. (1985). A randomized trial on the effect of tubing changes on hub contamination and catheter sepsis during parenteral nutrition. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 9, 322-325.
- Smith, R.L., Meixler, S.M. & Simberkoff, M.S. (1991). Excess mortality in critically ill patients with nosocomial bloodstream infections. *Chest, 100*(1), 164-167.
- Snydman, D.R., Donnelly-Reiday, M., Peery, L.K. & Martin, W.J. (1987). Intravenous tubing containing burettes can be safety changed at 72-hour intervals. *Infection Control*, *8*(3), 113-116.
- Snydman, D.R., Murray, S.A., Kornfeld, S.J., Majka, J.A. & Ellis, C.A. (1982). Total parenteral nutrition-related infections: Prospective epidemiologic study using semiquantitative methods. *American Journal of Medicine*, 73(5), 695-699.

Sprunt, K., Redman, W. & Leidy, G. (1973). Antibacterial effectiveness of routine hand washing. *Pediatrics*, *52*(2), 264-2671.

Steere, A.C. & Mallison, G.F. (1975). Handwashing practices for the prevention of nosocomial infections. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 83(4), 683-690.

Stenzel, J.P., Green, T.P., Fuhrman, B.P., Carlson, P.E. & Marchessault, R.P. (1989). Percutanous femoral venous catheterisation: A prospective study of complications. *Journal of Pediatrics*, *114*(3), 411-415.

Stillman, R.M., Soliman, F., Garcia, L., Sawyer, P.N. (1977). Etiology of catheter-associated sepsis: Correlation with thrombogenicity. *Archives of Surgery*, *112*(12), 1497-1502.

Strand, C. L., Wajsbort, R. R. & Sturmann, K. (1993). Effect of iodophor vs iodine tincture skin preparation on blood culture contamination rate. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 269(8), 1004-1006.

Tomford, J.W., Hershey, C.O., McLaren, C.E., Porter, D.K. & Cohen, D.I. (1984). Intravenous therapy team and peripheral venous catheter-associated complications. A prospective control study. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *144*(6), 1191-1194.

Vanherweghem, J.L., Dhaene, M., Goldman, M., Stolear, J.C., Sabot, J.P., Waterlot, Y., Serruys, E. & Thayse, C. (1986). Infections associated with subclavian dialysis catheters: The key role of nurse training. *Nephron*, *42*(2), 116-119.

Venkataraman, S.T., Thompson, A.E. & Orr, R.A. (1997). Femoral vascular catherisation in critically ill infants and children. *Clinical Pediatrics*, *36*(6), 311-319.

Walrath, J.M., Abbott, N.K., Caplan, E. & Scanlan, E. (1979). Stopcock: bacterial contamination in invasive monitoring systems. *Heart & Lung, 8*(1), 100-104.

Weems, J.J., Chamberland, M.E., Ward, J., Willy, M., Padnye, A.A. & Solomon, S.L. (1987). Candida parpsailosis fungemia associated with parenteral nutrition and contaminated blood pressure transducers. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *25*(6), 1029-1032.

White, M.C. & Ragland, K.E. (1994). Surveillance of intravenous catheter-related infections among home care clients. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 22(4), 231-235.

Widmer, A.F., Nettleman, M., Flint, K. & Wenzel, R.P. (1992). The clinical impact of culturing central venous catheters: A prospective study. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *152*(6), 1299-1302.

Wiener, E.S., McGuire, P., Stolar, C.J., Rich, R.H., Albo, V.C., Ablin, A.R., Betcher, D.L., Sitarz, A.L. Buckley, J.D., Krailo, M.D. et al. (1992). The CCSG prospective study of venous access devices: An analysis of insertions and causes for removal. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, 27(2), 155-164.

Wurzel, C.L., Halom, K., Feldman, J.G. & Rubin, L.G. (1988). Infection rates of Broviac-Hickman and implantable venous devices. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, 142(5), 536-540.

# 7 WORKGROUP MEMBERS

### **Chairperson:**

Ong Lim Liew

RN, MNS (Master of Nursing Studies), Adv Dip (Clinical Education), PICNC (Paediatric Intensive Care Nursing Certificate), MPC (Midwifery, Paediatric and Community Health Certificate)

# Members:

Chee Nguk Lan

RN, RM, BHSc (Nursing), MPC (Midwifery, Paediatric and Community Health Certificate)

Pang Nguk Lan

RN, MSc (Healthcare Management), Intensive Nursing Care Certificate

#### Secretariat:

Serena Koh Siew Lin RN, RM, BSc (Hons) Nursing Studies, Adv Dip (Midwifery)

# **External Consultants:**

Edwin Chan Shih-Yen BSc, BCMS, PhD Director / Head of Evidence-based Medicine Clinical Trials & Epidemiology Research Unit

Miny Samuel
PhD, MSc
Evidence-Based Medicine Analyst
Clinical Trials & Epidemiology Research Unit

### **External Reviewers:**

Janil Putchucheary Consultant Children's Intensive Care Unit KK Women's and Children's Hospital

Chan Yoke Hwee Consultant Children's Intensive Care Unit KK Women's and Children's Hospital

Lim Siok Hong Senior Nurse Clinician Infection Control Unit KK Women's and Children's Hospital

# APPENDIX 1 SELF ASSESSMENT

- 1. To prevent air embolism in central lines, one should use:
  - a) luer lock connections
  - b) push in connectors
  - c) clear dressings on the insertion site
  - d) tape on all connections
  - e) three way taps
- 2. In order to prevent infection of the central venous line, what other measures, besides aseptic technique, should be adopted?
  - a) Re-dress daily
  - b) Re-dress twice daily
  - c) Reduce catheter manipulation to a minimum
  - d) Use only sterile dressings
  - e) Take daily swabs from the insertion site
- 3. Central venous catheters are used for the following, except:
  - a) hemodynamic monitoring
  - b) hemodialysis
  - c) nutrition administration
  - d) arterial monitoring
- 4. The following are criterias used to determine central venous access related infections, except:
  - a) colonization of 15 or more colony-forming units and sepsis
  - b) purulent drainage at the catheter site
  - c) hypertension, altered mental states
  - d) symptoms of sepsis or bacteremia
- 5. Which topical dressing for a central venous access device is most effective against infection?
  - a) Moisture permeable transparent dressing
  - b) Cotton gauze
  - c) Polyantibiotic ointment and cotton gauze
  - d) Impermeable dressings

- 6. The following are the principles of management for central venous access catheter, except:
  - a) prevent infection
  - b) maintain an opened system
  - c) maintain a patent system
  - d) prevent damage to the device
- 7. All administration set with 3-way adaptors for central venous line must be changed every:
  - a) 24 hours
  - b) 36 hours
  - c) 48 hours
  - d) 72 hours
- 8. When flushing a central venous catheter, which of the following you must observe?
  - a) Maintain a positive pressure when clamping the catheter
  - b) Maintain a negative pressure when clamping the catheter
  - c) Maintain a neutral pressure when clamping the catheter
  - d) None of the above
- 9. The recommended frequency in dressing change for central venous catheter is:
  - a) 24 hours
  - b) 48 hours
  - c) 72 hours
  - d) weekly or when necessary
- 10. The most common complication of central venous access devices is
  - a) thrombotic obstruction
  - b) mechanical obstruction
  - c) catheter fracture
  - d) infection
- 11. A catheter related blood stream infection is demonstrated by
  - a) bacteria found in a blood culture
  - b) bacteria found in a blood culture of a patient who has symptoms of systemic infection
  - c) bacteria found in a catheter tip segment
  - d) the same bacteria found in a blood culture, a catheter segment, the infusate, and the catheter hub.

- 12. When erythema, tenderness, induration, or purulence are observed within 2 cm of the skin at the exit site of the catheter, it is called:
  - a) a tunnel infection
  - b) an abscess
  - c) a port pocket infection
  - d) a systemic infection
  - e) exit-site infection
- 13. A physician who has just inserted a central catheter in a patient orders a chest x-ray and IV fluids. The nurse should
  - a) start IV fluids as ordered.
  - b) wait until the x-ray is performed, and then start IV fluids.
  - c) wait until tip placement is confirmed before starting IV fluids.
  - d) draw back blood from the central catheter to assure patency, and then start IV fluids.
- 14. Which of the following can help to prevent clot formation inside the lumen of a central venous catheter?
  - a) Decreasing the infusion rate
  - b) Encouraging the patient to cough and take deep breaths periodically
  - c) Flushing the catheter with normal saline after withdrawing blood
  - d) Using needleless injection caps
- 15. Systemic infection is suspected when the patient with a central venous access device (CVAD) develops
  - a) erythema at the insertion site
  - b) drainage around the insertion site
  - c) upper-extremity swelling
  - d) fever
- 16. Which of the following solutions is most effective in cleansing the three-way port before accessing the central venous catheter?
  - a) Chlorhexidine 0.5% with alcohol 75%
  - b) Povidone iodine 10%
  - c) Alcohol 70%
  - d) Polyantibiotic

#### **Answers**

Questions	<u>Answer</u>
1	(a)
2	(c)
3	(d)
4	(c)
5	(a)
6	(b)
7	(d)
8	(a)
9	(d)
10	(d)
11	(d)
12	(e)
13	(c)
14	(c)
15	(d)
16	(a)